arXiv:1504.00950v2 [math.DS] 16 May 2015

A CUBIC NONCONVENTIONAL ERGODIC AVERAGE WITH MÖBIUS AND LIOUVILLE WEIGHT

E. H. EL ABDALAOUI AND XIANGDONG YE

Abstract. It is shown that the cubic nonconventional ergodic average of order 2 with Möbius and Liouville weight converge almost surely to zero[1]. As a consequence, we obtain that the Cesàro mean of the self-correlations and some moving average of the self-correlations of Möbius and Liouville functions converge to zero. Our proof gives, for any $N \geq 2$ and $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{m=1}^{N}\Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m)\Big| \leq \frac{C}{\ln(N)^{\epsilon}},$$

and

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{m=1}^{N}\Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\lambda}(n)\boldsymbol{\lambda}(n+m)\Big| \leq \frac{C}{\ln(N)^{\epsilon}}$$

where C is a constant which depends only on ε.

AMS Subject Classifications (2000): 28D15 (Primary), 05D10, 11B37, 37A45 (Secondary).

Key words and phrases: A nonconventional ergodic theorem along cube, nonconventional averages, Cesàro mean, moving average, Möbius function, Liouville function, Davenport estimation, Chowla conjecture, Elliott's conjecture, Sarnak's conjecture, self-correlation.

Date: May 19, 2015.

The second author is supported by NNSF of China (11371339 and 11431012).

[1] In a forthcoming version, we establish that the cubic nonconventional ergodic average of any order with Möbius and Liouville weight converge almost surely to zero.

1. Introduction.

The purpose of this note is motivated, on one hand, by the recent great interest on Möbius function from dynamical point view, and on the other hand, by the problem of the multiple recurrence which goes back to the seminal work of Furstenberg [25]. This later problem has nowadays a long history.

The dynamical studies of Möbius function was initiated recently by Sarnak in [46][2]. There, Sarnak made a conjecture that Möbius function is orthogonal to any deterministic dynamical sequence. Notice that this precise the definition of reasonable sequence in the Möbius randomness law mentioned by Iwaniec-Kowalski in [35, p.338]. Sarnak further mentioned that Bourgain's approach allows to prove that for almost all point x in any measurable dynamical system $(X, \mathcal{A}, T, \mathbb{P})$, the Möbius function is orthogonal to any dynamical sequence $f(T^n x)$. In [2], using a spectral theorem combined with Davenport estimation and Etmadi's trick, the authors gave a simple proof. Subsequently, Cuny and Weber gave a proof in which they mentioned that there is a rate in this almost sure convergence [16]. They further used Bourgain's method to prove that the almost sure convergence holds for the other arithmetical functions, like the divisor function, the theta function and the generalized Euler totient function. Very recently, using Green-Tao estimation [28] combined with the method in [2], Eisner in [22] proved that almost surely the dynamical sequence $f(T^{p(n)}x)$, where p is an integer polynomial, is orthogonal to the Möbius function. She further mentioned that the Möbius function is a good weight (with limit 0) for the multiple polynomial mean ergodic theorem by Qing Chu's result [15]. Subsequently, in a very recent preprint [24], Host and Frantzikinakis established that any multiplicative function with mean value along any arithmetic sequence is a good weight for the multiple polynomial mean ergodic theorem (with limit 0 for aperiodic multiplicative functions).

Here, we are interested in the pointwise convergence of cubic nonconventional ergodic average with Möbius and Liouville weight. The convergence of cubic nonconventional ergodic average was initiated by Bergelson in [8], where convergence in L^2 was shown for order 2 and under the extra assumption that all the transformations are equal. Under the same assumption, Bergelson's result was extended by Host and Kra for cubic averages of order 3 in [31], and for arbitrary order in [32]. Assani proved that pointwise convergence of cubic nonconventional ergodic average of order 3 holds for not necessarily commuting maps in [4, 5], and further established the pointwise convergence for cubic averages of arbitrary order holds when all the maps are equal. In [17], Chu and Frantzikinakis completed the study and established the pointwise convergence for the cubic averages of arbitrary order.

Very recently, Huang-Shao and Ye [34] gave a topological-like proof of the pointwise convergence of the cubic nonconventional ergodic average when all the maps are equal. They further applied their method to obtain the pointwise convergence of nonconventional ergodic average for a distal system.

---

[2] See also [47], [48], [49], [50]

Here, we establish the pointwise convergence of cubic of order 2 with Möbius weight or Liouville weight. The proof depends heavily on the double recurrence Bourgain's theorem (DRBT for short) [11]. As a consequence, we obtain that the Césaro mean of the self-correlation of Möbius function and those of Liouville function converge to zero.

Using Davenport estimation, we further deduce that some moving average of the self-correlation of Möbius function or Liouville function converge to zero, and they are summable along any divergent geometric sequence. The paper is organized as follows:

In section 2, we recall the main ingredients needed for the proof. In section 3, we state our main results and its consequences. In section 4, we give a proof of our first main result when at least one of the maps has a discrete spectrum. In section 5, we prove our second main result which assert that the Cesàro mean and some moving average of the self-correlations of Möbius and Liouville functions converge to zero. In section 6, we prove our first main result when at least one of dynamical system is a nilseystem. In section 7, we establish the Wiener-Wintner's version of Katai-Bourgain-Sarnak-Ziegler criterion, and we end the section by proving our first main result.
When this paper was under preparation, we learned that Matomäki, Radzwiłł and Tao [43] proved that for any natural number k, and for any $10 \leq H \leq X$, we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_k \leq H} \Big| \sum_{1 \leq n \leq X} \boldsymbol{\lambda}(n+h_1) \cdots \boldsymbol{\lambda}(n+h_k) \Big| \ll k \Big(\frac{\log \log H}{\log H} + \frac{1}{\log^{\frac{1}{3000}} X} \Big) H^{k-1} X.$$

In the case $k = 2$, this gives

$$\sum_{1 \leq h \leq X} \Big| \sum_{1 \leq n \leq X} \boldsymbol{\lambda}(n) \boldsymbol{\lambda}(n+h) \Big| \ll k \Big(\frac{\log \log H}{\log H} + \frac{1}{\log^{\frac{1}{3000}} X} \Big) H X.$$

This last estimation is largely bigger to our estimation when $H = X$.

We remind that besides, some estimation of limsup and liminf of some correlations of Liouville was obtain by several authors: Graham and Hansely, Harman-Pintz and Wolke, and Cassaigne-Ferenczi-Mauduit-Rivat and Sárközy. We referee to [13] for more details and for the references on the subject.

2. Basic definitions and tools.

Recall that the Liouville function $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \ : \ \mathbb{N}^* \longrightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ is defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}(n) = (-1)^{\Omega(n)},$$

where $\Omega(n)$ is the number of prime factors of n counted with multiplicities with $\Omega(1) = 1$. The integer n is said to be not square-free if there is a prime number p such that n is in the class of 0 mod p^2. The Möbius function $\boldsymbol{\mu} \ : \ \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ is define as follows

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}(n) = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\lambda}(n), & \text{if } n \text{ is square-free ;} \\ 1, & \text{if } n = 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We shall need the following crucial result due to Davenport [20] (for a recent proof see [45]).

Theorem 1. *For any* $\epsilon > 0$, *for any* $N \geq 2$, *we have*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\mu}(n) e^{2\pi i n t} = O\Big(\frac{N}{\ln(N)^{\epsilon}}\Big), \tag{1}$$

uniformly in t.

By Batman-Chowla's argument in [7] we have[3]

Theorem 2. *For any* $\epsilon > 0$, *for any* $N \geq 2$, *we have*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\lambda}(n) e^{2\pi i n t} = O\Big(\frac{N}{\ln(N)^{\epsilon}}\Big), \tag{2}$$

uniformly in t.

The Möbius and Liouville functions are connected to the Riemann zeta function by the following

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)}{n^s} \text{ and } \frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\boldsymbol{\lambda}(n)}{n^s} \text{ for any } s \in \mathbb{C} \text{ with } \Re(s) > 1.$$

We remind that Chowla made a conjecture in [14] on the multiple self-correlation of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ as follows:

Conjecture (of Chowla)**.** *For each choice of* $1 \leq a_1 < \cdots < a_r$, $r \geq 0$, *with* $i_s \in \{1, 2\}$, *not all equal to* 2, *we have*

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{i_0}(n) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}^{i_1}(n + a_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}^{i_r}(n + a_r) = \mathrm{o}(N). \tag{3}$$

In [46], Sarnak noticed that Chowla conjecture is a notorious conjecture in number theory, and formulated the following conjecture:

Conjecture (of Sarnak)**.** *For any dynamical system* (X, T), *where* X *is a compact metric space and* T *is homeomorphism of zero topological entropy, for any* $f \in C(X)$ *and any* $x \in X$, *we have*

$$\sum_{n \leq N} f(T^n x) \boldsymbol{\mu}(n) = \mathrm{o}(N). \tag{4}$$

He further announced that Chowla conjecture implies Sarnak conjecture, and wrote "we persist in maintaining Conjecture (4) as the central one even though it is much weaker than Conjecture (3). The point is that Conjecture (3) refers only to correlations of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ with deterministic sequences and avoids the difficulties associated with self-correlations." For the ergodic proof of the fact that Chowla conjecture implies Sarnak conjecture we refer the reader to [2] [4].

Given an arithmetical function A ($A : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$), and a positive integer $N \in \mathbb{N}$, for $n \in \{1, \cdots, N\}$, we define a self-correlation coefficient $c_{n,N}$ of A by

---

[3] See the proof of Lemma 1 in [7].

[4] For a purely combinatorial proof see the references in [2] .

$$c_{n,N}(A) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{m=1}^{N} A(m)A(m+n).$$

According to Wiener [52], if the limit exists for each n, this gives the spectral measure of A.

Cubic averages and related topics. Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mathbb{P})$ be a Lebesgue probability space and given three measure preserving transformations T_1, T_2, T_3 on X. Let $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in L^\infty(X)$. The cubic nonconventional ergodic averages of order 2 with weight A are defined by

$$\frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{n,m=1} A(n)A(m)A(n+m) f_1(T_1^n x) f_2(T_2^n x) f_3(T_3^n x).$$

This nonconventional ergodic average can be seeing as a classical one as follows

$$\frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{n,m=1} \widetilde{f_1}(\widetilde{T_1}^n(A,x))\widetilde{f_2}(\widetilde{T_2}^m(A,x))\widetilde{f_3}(\widetilde{T_3}^{n+m}(A,x)),$$

where $\widetilde{f_i} = \pi_0 \otimes f_i, \widetilde{T_i} = (S \otimes T_i),\ i = 1,2,3$ and π_0 is define by $x = (x_n) \longmapsto x_0$ on the space $Y = \mathbb{C}^N$ equipped with some probability measure.

The study of the cubic averages is closely and strongly related to the notion of seminorms introduced in [26] and [32]. They are nowadays called Gowers-Host-Kra's seminorms.

Assume that T is an ergodic measure preserving transformation on X. Then, for any $k \geq 1$, the Gowers-Host-Kra's seminorms on $L^\infty(X)$ are defined inductively as follows

$$|||f|||_1 = \Big|\int f d\mu\Big|;$$

$$|||f|||_{k+1}^{2^{k+1}} = \lim \frac{1}{H}\sum_{l=1}^{H} |||f.f\circ T^l|||_k^{2^k}.$$

For each $k \geq 1$, the seminorm $|||.|||_k$ is well defined. For details, we refer the reader to [32] and [30]. Notice that the definitions of Gowers-Host-Kra's seminorms can be easily extended to non-ergodic maps as it was mentioned by Chu and Frantzikinakis in [17].

The importance of the Gowers-Host-Kra's seminorms in the study of the nonconventional multiple ergodic averages is due to the existence of T-invariant sub-σ-algebra $\mathcal{Z}_{k-1}$ of X that satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}(f|\mathcal{Z}_{k-1}) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow |||f|||_k = 0.$$

This was proved by Host and Kra in [32]. The existence of the factors $\mathcal{Z}_k$ was also showed by Ziegler in [53]. We further notice that Host and Kra established a connection between the $\mathcal{Z}_k$ factors and the nilsystems in [32].

Nilsystems and nilsequences. The nilsystems are defined in the setting of homogeneous space [5]. Let G be a Lie group, and Γ a discrete cocompact subgroup (Lattice, uniform subgroup) of G. The homogeneous space is given by $X = G/\Gamma$ equipped with the Haar measure h_X and the canonical complete σ-algebra $\mathcal{B}_c$. The action of G on X is by the left translation, that is, for any $g \in G$, we have $T_g(x\Gamma) = g.x\Gamma = (gx)\Gamma$. If further G is a nilpotent Lie group of order k, X is said to be a k-step nilmanifold. For any fixed $g \in G$, the dynamical system $(X, \mathcal{B}_c, h_X, T_g)$ is called a k-step nilsystem. The basic k-step nilsequences on X are defined by $f(g^n x\Gamma) = (f \circ T_g^n)(x\Gamma)$, where f is a continuous function of X. Thus, $(f(g^n x\Gamma))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is any element of $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$, the space of bounded sequences, equipped with uniform norm $\|(a_n)\|_\infty = \sup\limits_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |a_n|$. A k-step nilsequence, is a uniform limit of basic k-step nilsequences. For more details on the nilsequences we refer the reader to [33] and [9][6].

Recall that the sequence of subgroups (G_n) of G is a filtration if $G_1 = G$, $G_{n+1} \subset G_n$, and $[G_n, G_p] \subset G_{n+p}$, where $[G_n, G_p]$ denotes the subgroup of G generated by the commutators $[x, y] = x\ y\ x^{-1}y^{-1}$ with $x \in G_n$ and $y \in G_p$.

The lower central filtration is given by $G_1 = G$ and $G_{n+1} = [G, G_n]$. It is well know that the lower central filtration allows to construct a Lie algebra $\mathrm{gr}(G)$ over the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ of integers. $\mathrm{gr}(G)$ is called a graded Lie algebra associated to G [10, p.38]. The filtration is said to be of degree or length l if $G_{l+1} = \{e\}$, where e is the identity of G.

We denote by G^e the identity component of G. Since $X = G/\Gamma$ is compact, we can assume that G/G^e is finitely generated [40].

If G is connected and simply-connected with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ [7], then $\exp\ :\ G \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a diffeomorphism, where exp denotes the Lie group exponential map. If G is 2-step nilpotent in addition, then the multiplication law in G can be expressed as follows in terms of the exponential map.

$$\exp(X).\exp(Y) = \exp(X + Y + \frac{1}{2}([X, Y])) \text{ for all } X, Y \in \mathfrak{g},$$

where $[,]$ is the Lie Bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$. We further have, by Mal'cev's criterion, that $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a basis $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1, \cdots, X_m\}$ with rational structure constants [42], that is,

$$[X_i, X_j] = \sum_{n=1}^{m} c_{ijn} X_n, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq k,$$

where the constants c_{ijn} are all rational. Let us precise that the Mal'cev's criterion allows us to assert that the correspondence between lattices in G and rational structures in G is almost one-one.

---

[5] For a nice account of the theory of the homogeneous space we refer the reader to [19],[38, pp.815-919].

[6] The term 'nilsequence' was coined by Bergleson-Host and Kra in 2005 [9].

[7] By Lie's fundamental theorems and up to isomorphism, $\mathfrak{g} = T_eG$, where T_eG is the tangent space at the identity e [37, p.34].

Let $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1, \cdots, X_m\}$ be a Mal'cev basis of $\mathfrak{g}$, then any element $g \in G$ can be uniquely written in the form $g = \exp\Big(t_1X_1 + t_2X_2 + \cdots + t_mX_m\Big)$, $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$, since the map exp is a diffeomorphism. The numbers $(t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_k)$ are called the Mal'cev coordinates of the first kind of g. In the same manner, g can be uniquely written in the form $g = \exp(s_1X_1). \exp(s_2X_2). \cdots . \exp(s_mX_m)$, $s_i \in \mathbb{R}$. The numbers $(s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_k)$ are called the Mal'cev coordinates of the second kind of g. Applying Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, it can be shown that the multiplication law in G can be expressed by a polynomial mapping $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ [44, p.55], [27]. This gives that any polynomial sequence g in G can be written as follows

$$g(n) = \gamma_1^{p_1(n)}, \cdots, \gamma_m^{p_m(n)},$$

where $\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_m \in G$, $p_i \,:\, \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ are polynomials [27]. Given $n, h \in \mathbb{Z}$, we put

$$\partial_h g(n) = g(n+h)g(n)^{-1}.$$

This can be interpreted as a discrete derivative on G. Given a filtration (G_n) on G, a sequence of polynomial $g(n)$ is said to be adapted to (G_n) if $\partial_{h_i} \cdots \partial h_1 g$ takes values in G_i for all positive integers i and for all choices of $h_1, \cdots, h_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The set of all polynomial sequences adapted to (G_n) is denoted by $\text{poly}(\mathbb{Z}, (G_n))$.

Furthermore, given a Mal'cev's basis $\mathcal{X}$ one can induce a right-invariant metric $d_{\mathcal{X}}$ on X [27]. We remind that for a real-valued function ϕ on X, the Lipschitz norm is defined by

$$\|\phi\|_L = \|\phi\|_\infty + \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{\big|\phi(x) - \phi(y)\big|}{d_{\mathcal{X}}(x,y)}.$$

The set $\mathcal{L}(X, d_{\mathcal{X}})$ of all Lipschitz functions is a normed vector space, and for any ϕ and ψ in $\mathcal{L}(X, d_{\mathcal{X}})$, $\phi\psi \in \mathcal{L}(X, d_{\mathcal{X}})$ and $\|\phi\psi\|_L \leq \|\phi\|_L \|\psi\|_L$. We thus get, by Stone-Weierstrass theorem, that the subsalgebra $\mathcal{L}(X, d_{\mathcal{X}})$ is dense in the space of continuous functions $C(X)$ equipped with uniform norm $\|\|_\infty$.

It turns out that for a Lipschitz function, extension from an arbitrary subset is possible without increasing the Lipschitz norm. Thanks to Kirszbraun-Mcshane extension theorem [21, p.146].

In this setting, we remind the following fundamental Green-Tao's theorem on the strong orthogonality of the Möbius function to any m-step nilsequences, $m \geq 1$.

Theorem 3. [28, Theorem 1.1]. *Let G/Γ be a m-step nilmanifold for some $m \geq 1$. Let (G_p) be a filtration of G of degree $l \geq 1$. Suppose that G/Γ has a Q-rational Mal'cev basis $\mathcal{X}$ for some $Q \geq 2$, defining a metric $d_{\mathcal{X}}$ on G/Γ. Suppose that $F : G/\Gamma \to [-1,1]$ is a Lipschitz function. Then, for any $A > 0$, we have the bound,*

$$\mathop{sup}_{g \in poly(\mathbb{Z},(G_p))} \Big| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\mu}(n) F(g(n)\Gamma) \Big| \leq C \frac{(1 + ||F||_L)}{\log^A N},$$

where the constant C depend on m, l, A, Q, $N \geq 2$.

We further need the following decomposition theorem due to Chu-Frantzikinakis and Host from [18, Proposition 3.1].

Theorem 4 (NSZE-decomposition theorem [18])**.** *Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, T)$ be a dynamical system, $f \in L^\infty(X)$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist measurable functions f_{ns}, f_z, f_e, such that*

(a) $\|f_\kappa\|_\infty \leq 2\|f\|_\infty$ *with* $\kappa \in \{ns, z, e\}$.
(b) $f = f_{ns} + f_z + f_e$ *with* $|||f_z|||_{k+1} = 0$; $\|f_e\|_1 < \varepsilon$; *and*
(c) for μ almost every $x \in X$, the sequence $(f_{ns}(T^n x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a k-step nilsequence.

3. MAIN RESULTS.

We state our first main result as follows.

Theorem 5. *The cubic nonconventional ergodic average of order 2 with Möbius weight or Liouville weight converge almost everywhere to 0, that is, for any $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in L^\infty(X)$, for almost all x, we have*

$$\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{m,n=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m) f_1(T_1^n x) f_2(T_2^m x) f_3(T_3^{n+m} x) \xrightarrow[N \to +\infty]{} 0,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{m,n=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\lambda}(n)\boldsymbol{\lambda}(m)\boldsymbol{\lambda}(n+m) f_1(T_1^n x) f_2(T_2^m x) f_3(T_3^{n+m} x) \xrightarrow[N \to +\infty]{} 0.$$

The strategy of the proof of our main first result can be described as follows. We first give the proof when at least one of the dynamical system has a discrete spectrum. Using Leibman's observation combined with Green-Tao's estimation, we extend our proof to the case when at least one of the dynamical system is a nilsystem. As a consequence, we get that Sarnak's conjecture holds for the class of nilsystems. Finally, we establish our first main result for the functions in the orthocomplement of $\mathcal{Z}_2$ factor.

Namely, the proof of Theorem 5 is divided in three sections. In section 4, we prove the following.

Theorem 6. *If for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, T_i has a discrete spectrum. Then, the cubic nonconventional ergodic average of order 2 with Möbius weight or Liouville weight converge almost everywhere to 0, that is, for any $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in L^\infty(X)$, for almost all x, we have*

$$\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{m,n=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m) f_1(T_1^n x) f_2(T_2^m x) f_3(T_3^{n+m} x) \xrightarrow[N \to +\infty]{} 0,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{m,n=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\lambda}(n)\boldsymbol{\lambda}(m)\boldsymbol{\lambda}(n+m) f_1(T_1^n x) f_2(T_2^m x) f_3(T_3^{n+m} x) \xrightarrow[N \to +\infty]{} 0.$$

In section 6, we establish the following.

Theorem 7. *If for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, T_i is a nilsystem. Then, the cubic nonconventional ergodic average of order 2 with Möbius weight or Liouville weight converge* *almost everywhere to* 0*, that is,*

$$\frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{m,n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m)f_1(T_1^n x)f_2(T_2^m x)f_3(T_3^{n+m}x)\xrightarrow[N\to+\infty]{}0,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{m,n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\lambda}(n)\boldsymbol{\lambda}(m)\boldsymbol{\lambda}(n+m)f_1(T_1^n x)f_2(T_2^m x)f_3(T_3^{n+m}x)\xrightarrow[N\to+\infty]{}0.$$

Finally, in section 8, we complete the proof by proving the following.

Theorem 8. *If for some* $i\in\{1,2,3\}$*, the function* f_i *is in the orthocomplement of the* $\mathscr{Z}_2$ *factor, i.e. if for some* i*, then for almost all* x*, we have*

$$\frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{m,n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m)f_1(T_1^n x)f_2(T_2^m x)f_3(T_3^{n+m}x)\xrightarrow[N\to+\infty]{}0,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{m,n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\lambda}(n)\boldsymbol{\lambda}(m)\boldsymbol{\lambda}(n+m)f_1(T_1^n x)f_2(T_2^m x)f_3(T_3^{n+m}x)\xrightarrow[N\to+\infty]{}0.$$

Notice that our result involves the self-correlation of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$. Furthermore, as far as we know the problem of the self-correlation of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is still open. This problem is known as Elliott's conjecture and it can be stated as follows.

Conjecture (of Elliott)**.** [23]

$$\lim_{N\longrightarrow+\infty}c_{h,N}(\boldsymbol{\mu})=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if } h\neq 0\\ \dfrac{6}{\pi^2} & \text{if not .}\end{cases}\tag{5}$$

P.D.T.A. Elliott wrote in his 1994's AMS Memoirs that "even the simple particular cases of the correlation (when $h=1$ in (5)) are not well understood. Almost surely the Möbius function satisfies (5) in this case, but at the moment we are unable to prove it."

Recently, el Abdalaoui and Disertori in [1] established that the L^1-flatness of trigonometric polynomials with Möbius coefficients implies Elliott's conjecture. The L^1-flatness of trigonometric polynomials with coefficients in $\{0,1,-1\}$ is an open problem in harmonic analysis and spectral theory of dynamical systems (see [3] and the references therein).

Nevertheless, as a consequence of Theorem 6, we have the following result which seems to be new.

Corollary 1. *The Möbius function and Liouville function satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{n,m=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m)\xrightarrow[N\to+\infty]{}0,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{n,m=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\lambda}(n)\boldsymbol{\lambda}(m)\boldsymbol{\lambda}(n+m)\xrightarrow[N\to+\infty]{}0,$$

Proof. Take $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = 1$ in Theorem 5. □

Our second main result can be stated as follows.

Theorem 9. *For any $\rho > 1$ there exist two sequences of integer m_l, n_l such that*

$$\frac{1}{[\rho^{m_l}]}\sum_{k=1}^{[\rho^{m_l}]}\boldsymbol{\mu}(k)\boldsymbol{\mu}(k+n_l) \xrightarrow[l\to+\infty]{} 0,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{[\rho^{m_l}]}\sum_{k=1}^{[\rho^{m_l}]}\boldsymbol{\lambda}(k)\boldsymbol{\lambda}(k+n_l) \xrightarrow[l\to+\infty]{} 0.$$

We further have, for any integer $N \geq 2$, for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{m=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m)\Big| \leq \frac{C}{\ln(N)^{\epsilon}},$$

and

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{m=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\lambda}(m)\boldsymbol{\lambda}(n+m)\Big| \leq \frac{C}{\ln(N)^{\epsilon}},$$

where C is a constant which depends only on ε.

This gives

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{m=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m)\Big| \xrightarrow[N\to+\infty]{} 0,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{m=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\lambda}(m)\boldsymbol{\lambda}(n+m)\Big| \xrightarrow[N\to+\infty]{} 0.$$

Before starting to prove our main results, let us point out that it is suffice to establish our result for a dense set of functions. Indeed, assume that the convergence holds for some f_1 and let g be such that $||f-g||_1 < \epsilon$, for a given $\epsilon > 0$. Put

$$\psi_N(f_1,f_2,f_3) = \frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{m,n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m)f_1(T_1^n x)f_2(T_2^m x)f_3(T_3^{n+m}x).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\psi_N(f_1,f_2,f_3) - \psi_N(g,f_2,f_3)| \\
\leq\ & \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}|f_1(T_1^n x) - g(T_1^n x)|\Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{m=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m)f_2(T_2^m x)f_3(T_3^{n+m}x)\Big| \\
\leq\ & ||f_2||_\infty||f_3||_\infty\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}|f_1(T_1^n x) - g(T_1^n x)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting N goes to ∞, it follows

$$\limsup|\psi_N(f_1,f_2,f_3) - \psi_N(g,f_2,f_3)| \leq \|f_1 - g\|_1 \leq \epsilon,$$

by Birkhoff ergodic theorem combined with our assumption. Notice that the maps T_i are supposed to be ergodic. From now on, without loss of generality, we will assume that $T_i, i = 1,2,3$ are ergodic.

4. On the discrete spectrum case.

In this section, we focus our study on the case in which at least one of the maps $T_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ has a discrete spectrum.

Proof of Theorem 6. Let assume that T_1 has a discrete spectrum and let f_1 be an eigenfunction with eigenvalue λ. Then, for almost all $x \in X$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{n,m=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m)f_1(T_1^n x)f_2(T_2^m x)f_3(T_3^{n+m}x)\Big|\\
= \;&\Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{m=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)f_2(T_2^m x)\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m)\lambda^n f_3(T_3^{n+m}x)\Big|\\
\leq \;&\frac{1}{N}\sum_{m=1}^{N}\big|f_2(T_2^m x)\big|\Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m)\lambda^n f_3(T_3^{n+m}x)\Big|\\
\leq \;&\|f_2\|_\infty\frac{1}{N}\sum_{m=1}^{N}\Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m)\lambda^n f_3(T_3^{n+m}x)\Big| \qquad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we can rewrite (6) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{n,m=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m)f_1(T_1^n x)f_2(T_2^m x)f_3(T_3^{n+m}x)\Big|\\
\leq \;&\|f_2\|_\infty\Big(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{m=1}^{N}\Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m)\lambda^n f_3(T_3^{n+m}x)\Big|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by Bourgain's observation [11, equations (2.5) and (2.7)], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m=1}^{N}\Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m)\lambda^n f_3(T_1^{n+m}x)\Big|^2\\
= \;&\sum_{m=1}^{N}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{T}}\Big(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\lambda^n z^{-n}\Big)\Big(\sum_{p=1}^{2N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(p)f_3(T_3^p x)z^p\Big)z^{-m}dz\Big|^2\\
\leq \;&\int_{\mathbb{T}}\Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\lambda^n z^{-n}\Big|^2\Big|\sum_{p=1}^{2N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(p)f_3(T_3^p x)z^p\Big|^2 dz. \qquad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is due to Parseval-Bessel inequality. Indeed, put

$$\Phi_N(z) = \Big(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\lambda^n z^{-n}\Big)\Big(\sum_{p=1}^{2N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(p)f_3(T_3^p x)z^p\Big).$$

Then, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\widehat{\Phi_N}(m) = \int_{\mathbb{T}}\Big(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\lambda^n z^{-n}\Big)\Big(\sum_{p=1}^{2N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(p)f_3(T_3^p x)z^p\Big)z^{-m}dz.$$

Whence

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m=1}^{N}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{T}}\Big(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\lambda^n z^{-n}\Big)\Big(\sum_{p=1}^{2N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(p)f_3(T_3^p x)z^p\Big)z^{-m}dz\Big|^2 &= \sum_{m=1}^{N}\Big|\widehat{\Phi_N}(m)\Big|^2\\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}}|\Phi_N(z)|^2dz.\end{aligned}$$

Now, combining (7) with (8) we can assert that

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{n,m=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m)f_1(T_1^n x)f_2(T_2^m x)f_3(T_3^{n+m}x)\Big|\\ = &\Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{m=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)f_2(T_2^m x)\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\lambda^n\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m)f_3(T_3^{n+m}x)\Big|\\ \leq &\|f_2\|_\infty\Big(\frac{1}{N}\sup_{z\in\mathbb{T}}\Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\lambda^n z^{-n}\Big|^2\int_{\mathbb{T}}\Big|\sum_{p=1}^{2N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(p)f_3(T_3^p x)z^p\Big|^2dz\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \qquad (9)\end{aligned}$$

We thus get

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{n,m=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m)f_1(T_1^n x)f_2(T_2^m x)f_3(T_3^{n+m}x)\Big|\\ \leq &\|f_2\|_\infty\sup_{z\in\mathbb{T}}\Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)z^{-n}\Big|.\Big(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{p=1}^{2N}|\boldsymbol{\mu}(p)|\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|f_3\|_\infty, \qquad (10)\end{aligned}$$

since the map $z\mapsto\lambda z$ is a continuous bijection on the torus, and we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}}\Big|\sum_{p=1}^{2N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(p)f_3(T_3^p x)z^p\Big|^2dz=\sum_{p=1}^{2N}|\boldsymbol{\mu}(p)||f_3(T_3^p x)|^2\leq\sum_{p=1}^{2N}|\boldsymbol{\mu}(p)|\|f_3\|_\infty^2.$$

It follows from Davenport's estimation (1) combined with (10) that for each $\varepsilon>0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{n,m=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m)f_1(T_1^n x)f_2(T_2^m x)f_3(T_3^{n+m}x)\Big|\\ \leq &\sqrt{2}\|f_2\|_\infty\|f_3\|_\infty\frac{C}{\ln(N)^\varepsilon}. \qquad (11)\end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant which depends only on ε. Letting N go to ∞, we conclude that the almost sure convergence holds. Moreover, by the same argument, the almost sure convergence to 0 holds with the Liouville weight, and the proof of the theorem is complete. □

5. ON THE SELF-CORRELATION OF MÖBIUS AND LOUIVILLE FUNCTIONS.

Notice that we have actually proved

Lemma 1. *For any $\varepsilon>0$, for any $N\geq 2$,*

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{m=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m)\Big|\leq\frac{C}{\ln(N)^\varepsilon}, \qquad (12)$$

where C is a constant which depends only on ε.

Proof. This follows by combining (6), (8), (9) with (1) and by taking $\lambda = 1$ and $f_3 = 1$. □

At this point, the proof of the second part of Theorem 9 follows.

Let $\rho > 1$, then for $N = [\rho^m]$ with some $m \geq 1$, (12) takes the form

$$\frac{1}{[\rho^m]} \sum_{n=1}^{[\rho^m]} |c_{n,N}| \leq \frac{C}{\left(m \ln(\rho)\right)^{\varepsilon}}, \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0.$$

By choosing $\varepsilon = 2$, we obtain

$$\sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{[\rho^m]} \sum_{n \leq [\rho^m]} |c_{n,[\rho^m]}| < +\infty.$$

Let (δ_l) be a sequence of positive numbers such that $\delta_l \xrightarrow[l \to +\infty]{} 0$. Then, for any $l \geq 1$ there exists a positive integer m_l such that

$$\sum_{m \geq m_l} \frac{1}{[\rho^m]} \sum_{n \leq [\rho^m]} |c_{n,[\rho^m]}| < \delta_l.$$

This gives, for any $m \geq m_l$,

$$\frac{1}{[\rho^m]} \sum_{n \leq [\rho^m]} |c_{n,[\rho^m]}| < \delta_l.$$

Hence, there exists $n_l \leq [\rho^m]$ such that

$$|c_{n_l,[\rho^{m_l}]}| < \delta_l.$$

By letting l go to ∞, we get $c_{n_l,[\rho^{m_l}]} \xrightarrow[l \to +\infty]{} 0$. This prove the first part of Theorem 9.

Note that we have proved more, namely,

Corollary 2. *For any $\rho > 1$,*

$$\sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{[\rho^m]} \sum_{n=1}^{[\rho^m]} \Big| \frac{1}{[\rho^m]} \sum_{k=1}^{[\rho^m]} \boldsymbol{\mu}(k)\boldsymbol{\mu}(k+n) \Big| < +\infty.$$

Remark 1. *It is shown in* [1] *that if Sarnak's conjecture holds with some technical assumption then the self-corrections of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{2N} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+k) \xrightarrow[N \to +\infty]{} 0,$$

for any $k < 0$ [8].

---

[8] We extend the definition of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ to the negative integer in usual fashion.

6. On the nilsystem case.

In this section, we present the proof of our first main result when at least one of the dynamical systems is a nilsystem.

Proof of Theorem 7. Let us assume that T_1 is an elementary nilsystem of order s, that is, T_1 is an ergodic s-step nilsystem on $X = G/\Gamma$, where G is a nilpotent Lie group of dimension s and Γ is a discrete subgroup. By the density argument, it suffices to prove the theorem for a nilsequence $(f_1(T^n x))$, $x \in X$, f_1 is a continuous function on X. Now, by Leibman's observation [40], we can embed G into a connected and simply-connected nilpotent Lie group $\widehat{G}$ with a cocompact subgroup $\widehat{\Gamma}$ such that $X = G/\Gamma$ is isomorphic to a sub-nilmanifold of $\widehat{X} = \widehat{G}/\widehat{\Gamma}$, with all translations from G represented in $\widehat{G}$. Furthermore, by Tietze-Uryshon extension theorem [21, p.48], we can extend f_1 to $\widehat{X}$. Hence, we are reduced to prove our main result for the nilsystems on $\widehat{X}$.

Analyzing the proof given in section 4, we need to estimate

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{T}}\Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)f_1(T^n x)z^{-n}\Big|.$$

Again, by the density argument, we may assume that f_1 is Lipschitz. We further notice that the sequence $(a_n z^{-n})_n$ can viewed as a nilsequence on $Y = \widehat{G}/\widehat{\Gamma}\times\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{T}$. But the group $\widehat{G}\times\mathbb{R}$ is connected and simply-connected, and the function $F_1(x,z) = f_1(x)z^{-1}$ is Lipschitz. Then, we can apply Green-Tao's Theorem (Theorem 1.1 in [28]) for a given filtration (H_n) of $\widehat{G}\times\mathbb{R}$ of length $m \geq 1$. This gives,

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{T}}\Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)f_1(T^n x)z^{-n}\Big| \leq C\frac{1+\|f_1\|_L}{\ln^A(N)},$$

For any $A > 0$, uniformly on x and z. Letting N goes to infinity, we get

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{T}}\Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)f_1(T^n x)z^{-n}\Big| \xrightarrow[N\to+\infty]{} 0.$$

Whence,

$$\Big|\frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{n,m=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m)f_1(T_1^n x)f_2(T_2^m x)f_3(T_3^{n+m}x)\Big| \xrightarrow[N\to+\infty]{} 0.$$

by (10), which end the proof of the theorem. □

Note that we have proved the following popular and well-known result.

Theorem 10. *Sarnak's conjecture holds for any nilsystem.*

7. On Wiener Wintner's version of Katai-Bourgain-Sarnak-Ziegler criterion.

As mentioned before, notice that the main ingredient, in the proof given in section 2, is based on the estimation of the following quantity:

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{T}}\Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\lambda^n z^n\Big|.$$

It follows that to tackle the general case, we need to estimate the following quantity:

$$\sup_t \Big| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\mu}(n) f(T^n x) e^{2\pi i n t} \Big| \tag{13}$$

The almost sure pointwise convergence of (13) without the Möbius weight follows from Wiener-Wintner theorem. In this case, (13) converges to zero almost surely provided that the spectral measure of f is a continuous measure[9], that is, f is in the orthocomplement of the Kronecker factor. In his 1993's paper [41], Lesigne gave a modern proof of Wiener-Wintner theorem. The proof is based on the following van der Corput inequality (a proof of it can found in [39]).

Theorem 11 (van der Corput's inequality.)**.** *Let* $u_0, \cdots, u_{N-1}$ *be complex numbers, and let* H *be an integer with* $0 \leq H \leq N-1$. *Then*

$$\Big| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} u_n \Big|^2 \leq$$

$$\frac{N+H}{N^2(H+1)} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |u_n|^2 + 2 \frac{N+H}{N^2(H+1)^2} \sum_{h=1}^{H} (H+1-h)\ Re\Big(\sum_{n=0}^{N-h-1} u_{n+h} \overline{u_n} \Big).$$

where $Re(z)$ *denotes the real part of* $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

If one tries to apply naively van der Corput machinery in our case, then this leads him to the study of the self-correlation of order 2 of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ which seems to be an outstanding problem as previously mentioned in section 3. This is due, as pointed by Sarnak in [49], to the lack of methods for the study of the self-correlation of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$. Nevertheless, there are methods to apply for the study of the correlation of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ with certain sequences. Thanks to the bilinear method of Vinogradov [51]. This allows Bourgain-Sarnak-Ziegler to produce a criterion as a tool in the study of Sarnak's conjecture. Here, we state a Wiener-Wintner's version of it ((WWKBSZ for short): Wiener-Wintner's version of Kátai-Bourgain-Sarnak-Ziegler criterion [10]).

Theorem 12 (WWKBSZ criterion)**.** *Let* $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ *be a Lebesgue probability space and* T *be an invertible measure preserving transformation. Let* $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ *be a multiplicative function*[11]. *Let* f *be in* L^∞ *with* $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$ *and* $\varepsilon > 0$ *and assume that for almost all point* $x \in X$ *and for all different prime numbers* p *and* q *less than* $\exp(1/\varepsilon)$, *we have*

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \sup_t \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{2\pi i n(p-q)t} f(T^{pn} x) f(T^{qn} x) \right| < \varepsilon, \tag{14}$$

then, for almost all $x \in X$, *we have*

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \sup_t \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\nu}(n) e^{2\pi i n t} f(T^n x) \right| < 2\sqrt{\varepsilon \log 1/\varepsilon}. \tag{15}$$

---

[9] Recall that σ_f is a finite Borel measure on the circle determined by its Fourier transform given by $\widehat{\sigma}_f(n) = \int f \circ T^n \cdot \overline{f}\, d\mu$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

[10] Kátai's version of the criterion is stated in [36].

[11] An arithmetical function $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is said to be multiplicative if $\boldsymbol{\nu}(mn) = \boldsymbol{\nu}(m)\boldsymbol{\nu}(n)$ whenever n and m are coprime.

Proof. The proof is, indeed word-for-word the same as that of Theorem 2 in [12], except that at the equation (2.7) one need to apply the following elementary inequality: for any two bounded positive functions F and G, we have

$$\sup(F(x)+G(x)) \leq \sup(F(x)) + \sup(G(x)).$$

□

It follows from the WWKBSZ criterion that we need to estimate (14). But this follows by a careful application of van der Corput trick combined with Bourgain's double recurrence theorem (BDRT for short) as it was shown by Assani, Duncan and Moore in [6]. Precisely, they proved the following

Theorem 13 (WW's version of BDRT [6]). *Let $(X,\mathcal{A},\mu)$ be a Lebesgue probability space and T be an invertible measure preserving transformation. Let $f,g \in L^\infty(X)$. Then, for any $k,l \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|\max(k,l)|>0$, for almost all $x \in X$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\big(f|_{\mathcal{Z}_2}\big)=0 \Longrightarrow \sup_t \Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{2\pi i n t} f(T^{kn}x)g(T^{ln}x)\Big| \xrightarrow[N\to+\infty]{} 0.$$

8. Proof of the main results-Theorem 8 and Theorem 5.

Without loss of generality we assume that $\mathbb{E}(f_1|_{\mathcal{Z}_2})=0$. We start by rewriting the equation (9) and (11) in the following form

$$\Big|\frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{n,m=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m) f_1(T_1^n x) f_2(T_2^m x) f_3(T_3^{n+m}x)\Big|$$

$$\leq \|f_2\|_\infty \sup_{z\in\mathbb{T}} \Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n) f_1(T_1^n x) z^{-n}\Big| \Big(\frac{1}{N}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\Big|\sum_{p=1}^{2N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(p) f_3(T_3^p x) z^p\Big|^2 dz\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{16}$$

and

$$\Big|\frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{n,m=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m) f_1(T_1^n x) f_2(T_2^m x) f_3(T_3^{n+m}x)\Big|$$

$$\leq \sqrt{2}\|f_2\|_\infty \|f_3\|_\infty \sup_{z\in\mathbb{T}} \Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\mu}(n) f_1(T_1^n x) z^{-n}\Big|. \tag{17}$$

Since

$$\mathbb{E}\big(f_1|_{\mathcal{Z}_2}\big)=0,$$

it follows that, for any $k,l\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $|\max(k,l)|>0$, for almost all $x\in X$, we have

$$\sup_t \Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{2\pi i n t} f_1(T_1^{kn}x) f_1(T_1^{ln}x)\Big| \xrightarrow[N\to+\infty]{} 0,$$

by WW's version of BDRT (Theorem 13). Whence

$$\sup_t \Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\mu}(n) f_1(T_1^n x) e^{2\pi i n t}\Big| \xrightarrow[N\to+\infty]{} 0,$$

by WWKBSZ criterion (Theorem 12). This combined with (17) gives

$$\Big|\frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{n,m=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m) f_{1,z}(T_1^n x) f_2(T_2^m x) f_3(T_3^{n+m}x)\Big| \xrightarrow[N\to+\infty]{} 0,$$

and the proof of Theorem 8 is complete. □

Remark 2. *Notice that our result is valid if the weight is given by any multiplicative function $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ bounded by 1 and for which the following condition is satisfy*

$$sup_{g \in poly(\mathbb{Z},(G_p))} \Big| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\nu}(n) F(g(n)\Gamma) \Big| \xrightarrow[N \to +\infty]{} 0, \tag{18}$$

where (G_p) is a given filtration in a nilpotent group G, $F : G/\Gamma \to [-1,1]$ is a Lipschitz function and G/Γ is equipped with the metric $d_{\mathcal{X}}$. We refer to condition (18) *as the strong Daboussi-Delange's condition.*

We end this section by giving the proof of our main result.

Proof of Theorem 5. Let $f_1 \in L^\infty$. Then, by NSZE-decomposition theorem (Theorem 4), we can decompose f_1 for $k = 2$ as follows

$$f_1 = f_{1,sc} + f_{1,z} + f_{1,e}.$$

By Theorem 7 combined with Theorem 8, we have

$$\Big| \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n,m=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m) f_{1,sc}(T_1^n x) f_2(T_2^m x) f_3(T_3^{n+m} x) \Big| \xrightarrow[N \to +\infty]{} 0,$$

and

$$\Big| \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n,m=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m) f_{1,z}(T_1^n x) f_2(T_2^m x) f_3(T_3^{n+m} x) \Big| \xrightarrow[N \to +\infty]{} 0.$$

Therefore, for almost all $x \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup \Big| \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n,m=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m) f_1(T_1^n x) f_2(T_2^m x) f_3(T_3^{n+m} x) \Big| \\ = \quad & \limsup \Big| \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n,m=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m) f_{1,e}(T_1^n x) f_2(T_2^m x) f_3(T_3^{n+m} x) \Big| \\ \leq \quad & \|f_2\|_\infty \|f_3\|_\infty \limsup \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} |f_{1,e}(T^n x)|. \end{aligned}$$

But, by Birkhoff theorem, for almost all x, we have

$$\limsup \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} |f_{1,e}(T^n x)| = \|f_{1,e}\|_1 \leq \epsilon.$$

Whence

$$\limsup \Big| \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n,m=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m) f_1(T_1^n x) f_2(T_2^m x) f_3(T_3^{n+m} x) \Big| \leq \|f_2\|_\infty \|f_3\|_\infty \epsilon.$$

Since ϵ was arbitrary, we conclude that

$$\limsup \Big| \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n,m=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\mu}(n)\boldsymbol{\mu}(m)\boldsymbol{\mu}(n+m) f_1(T_1^n x) f_2(T_2^m x) f_3(T_3^{n+m} x) \Big| = 0,$$

which achieves the proof of our main result. □

Question. *A natural problem suggested by our result is the following: do we have, for any* $k \geq 3$,

$$\frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \in [1,N]^k} \prod_{\boldsymbol{e} \in C^*} \boldsymbol{\nu}(\boldsymbol{n}.\boldsymbol{e}) f_{\boldsymbol{e}}\big(T_{\boldsymbol{e}}^{\boldsymbol{n}.\boldsymbol{e}} x\big) \xrightarrow[N \to +\infty]{} 0?$$

where $\boldsymbol{n} = (n_1, \cdots, n_k)$, $\boldsymbol{e} = (e_1, \cdots, e_k)$, $C^* = \{0,1\}^k \setminus \{(0, \cdots, 0)\}$, $\boldsymbol{n}.\boldsymbol{e}$ *is the usual inner product, and* $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ *is a bounded multiplicative function which satisfy a strong Daboussi-Delange condition.*

A second question is related to Sarnak's conjecture. Assume that T *satisfy Sarnak's conjecture, do we have for any continuous function, for all* $x \in X$,

$$\sup_t \Big| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\mu}(n) f(T^n x) e^{2\pi i n t} \Big| \xrightarrow[N \to +\infty]{} 0? \ \textit{(WWS)}$$

Notice that the topological entropy of the cartesian product of two dynamical flow on compact set is the sum of their topological entropy [29].

Acknowledgment. *The first author would like to express his heartfelt thanks to Professor Benjamin Weiss for the discussions on the subject. It is a great pleasure also for him to acknowledge the warm hospitality of University of Science and Technology of China and Fudan University where a part of this work has been done.*

References

[1] E. H. el Abdalaoui and M. Disertori, *Spectral properties of the Möbius function and a random Möbius model*, preprint, available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1305.4361.

[2] E. H. el Abdalaoui, J. Kułaga-Przymus, M. Lemańczyk, T. de la Rue, *The Chowla and the Sarnak conjectures from ergodic theory point of view,* preprint, available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1410.1673.

[3] E. H. el Abdalaoui and M. G. Nadkarni, *A non-singular transformation whose spectrum has Lebesgue component of multiplicity one,* Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, available on CJO2014. doi:10.1017/etds.2014.85.

[4] I. Assani. *Pointwise convergence along cubes for measure preserving systems,* Available at arXiv:math/0311274.

[5] I. Assani, *A*verages along cubes for not necessarily commuting m.p.t, Ergodic theory and related fields. Contemp. Math. 430, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2007), 1-19.

[6] I. Assani, D. Duncan and R. Moore, *Pointwise characteristic factors for Wiener-Wintner double recurrence theorem*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, available on doi:10.1017/etds.2014.99.

[7] P. T. Bateman, S. Chowla, Some special trigonometrical series related to the distribution of primes, J. London Math. Soc., 38, 372-374, (1963).

[8] V. Bergelson, The multifarious Poincare recurrence theorem. Descriptive set theory and dynamical systems. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 277, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (2000), 31-57.

[9] V. Bergelson, B. Host and B. Kra. Multiple recurrence and nilsequences. Invent. Math. 160(2) (2005), 261-303.

[10] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algebres de Lie. Chapter 1,* Hermann, Paris, 1960.

[11] J. Bourgain, *Double recurrence and almost sure convergence,* J. Reine Angew. Math., 404 (1990), 140-161.

[12] J. Bourgain, P. Sarnak, T. Ziegler, *Disjointness of Möbius from horocycle flows*, in "From Fourier and Number Theory to Radon Transforms and Geometry", in memory of Leon Ehrenpreiss, *Developments in Mathematics*, **28** (2012), 67–83, Springer Verlag. available on arXiv:1110.0992.

[13] J. Cassaigne, S. Ferenczi, C. Mauduit, J. Rivat and A. Sárközy, *On finite pseudorandom binary sequences. III. The Liouville function,* I. Acta Arith. 87 (1999), no. 4, 367-390.

[14] S. Chowla. *The Riemann hypothesis and Hilbert's tenth problem.* Mathematics and Its Applications, Vol. 4. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1965.

[15] Q. Chu, *Convergence of weighted polynomial multiple ergodic averages,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), no. 4, 1363-1369. MR2465660 (2009k:37010) 1, 3, 16.

[16] C. Cuny and M. Weber, *Ergodic theorems with arithmetical weights*, Available at http://arxiv.org/pdf/1412.7640.

[17] Q. Chu & N. Frantzikinakis, *Pointwise convergence for cubic and polynomial multiple ergodic averages of noncommuting transformations*, Ergodic Theory & Dynamical Systems, 32, no. 3, (2012), 877-897.

[18] Q. Chu, N. Frantzikinakis & B. Host *Ergodic averages of commuting transformations with distinct degree polynomial iterates.,* Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 102 (2011), no. 5, 801-842.

[19] S. G. Dani, *Flows on homogeneous spaces: a review* , Ergodic theory of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ actions (Warwick, 1993-1994), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 228, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996, pp. 63-112.

[20] H. Davenport. On some infinite series involving arithmetical functions. II. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, 8:313–320, 1937.

[21] R. M. Dudley, *Real analysis and probability,* Revised reprint of the 1989 original. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 74. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[22] T. Eisner, A polynomial version of Sarnak's conjecture, available at http://arxiv.org/pdf/1501.04323.

[23] P. D. T. A. Elliott, *On the correlation of multiplicative and the sum of additive arithmetic functions,* M em. Amer. Math. Soc. 112 (1994), no. 538, viii+88 pp.

[24] N. Frantzikinakis and B. Host, *Multiple ergodic theorems for arithmetic sets*, available at http://fr.arxiv.org/pdf/1503.07154

[25] H. Furstenberg, *Ergodic behavior of diagonal measures and a theorem of Szemerédi on arithmetic progressions,* J. d'Analyse Math. 31: 204-256 (1977).

[26] W. Gowers, *A new proof of Szemerédi's theorem,* Geom. Funct. Anal. 11 (2001), 465-588.

[27] B. Green, T. Tao, *The quantitative behaviour of polynomial orbits on nilmanifolds,* Ann. of Math. (2) 175 (2012), no. 2, 465-540.

[28] B. Green, T. Tao, *The Möbius function is strongly orthogonal to nilsequence,.* Ann. of Math. (2) 175 (2012), no. 2, 541-566.

[29] L. W. Goodwyn, The product theorem for topological entropy, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 158 1971 445-452.

[30] B. Host, *Ergodic seminorms for commuting transformations and applications,* Studia Math. 195 (2009), 31-49.

[31] B. Host, B. Kra., *Averaging along cubes. Modern dynamical systems and applications,* Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (2004), 123-144.

[32] B. Host, B. Kra. *Nonconventional ergodic averages and nilmanifolds,* Ann. Math. 161 (2005), 397-488.

[33] B. Host, B. Kra, Analysis of two step nilsequences, Ann. Inst. Fourier 58 (2008), 1407-1453.

[34] W. Huang, S. Shao, X. Ye, Pointwise convergence of multiple ergodic averages and strictly ergodic models, Available at http://arxiv.org/pdf/1406.5930.

[35] H. Iwaniec, Henryk and E. Kowalski, *Analytic number theory,* American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 53. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[36] I. Kátai. A remark on a theorem of H. Daboussi. Acta Math. Hungar. 47 (1986), 223-225.

[37] A. Kirillov, *An introduction to Lie groups and Lie algebras,* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 113. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.

[38] D. Kleinbock, N. Shah & A. Starkov, *Dynamics of subgroup actions on homogeneous spaces of Lie groups and applications to number theory,* Handbook of dynamical systems, Vol. 1A, 813-930, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.

[39] L. Kuipers & H. Niederreiter, *Uniform distribution of sequences*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1974.

[40] A. Leibman, *Pointwise convergence of ergodic averages for polynomial sequences of translations on a nilmanifold*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 25 (2005), no. 1, 201-213.

[41] E. Lesigne, *Spectre quasi-discret et théorème ergodique de Wiener-Wintner pour les polynômes, (French) [The quasidiscrete spectrum and the Wiener-Wintner ergodic theorem for polynomials]*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 13 (1993), no. 4, 767-784.

[42] A. I. Mal'cev, *On a class of homogeneous spaces,* Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 1951 (1951), no. 39, 33, 9-32.

[43] K. Matomäki, M. Radziwiłł and T. Tao, *An averaged form of chowla's conjecture*, available at http://arxiv.org/pdf/1503.05121

[44] A. L. Onishchik, *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras III*, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci. 41, Spring-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

[45] O. Ramaré, Moebius et caractéres addatives, un théorème de Davenport,Available at http://math.univ-lille1.fr/∼ramare/Maths/Davenport-4.pdf

[46] P. Sarnak, *Möbius Randomness and Dynamics*, Lecture Slides Summer 2010. http://publications.ias.edu/sarnak/paper/518.

[47] P. Sarnak, *Möbius Randomness and Dynamics*, Mahler Colloquium Lectures 2011, http://publications.ias.edu/sarnak/paper/546.

[48] P. Sarnak, *Three lectures on the Möbius function randomness and dynamics (Lecture 1).* http://publications.ias.edu/sarnak/paper/506.

[49] P. Sarnak, *Möbius Randomness and Dynamics*, Notices of the south african mathematical society, pp. 89-97.

[50] P. Sarnak, *Möbius Randomness and Horocycle flow,* http://www.icts.res.in/media/uploads/Lecture/Slides/SR_peter_3.pdf.

[51] I. M. Vinogradov, *Representation of an odd number as a sum of three primes,* Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 15, 291-294.

[52] N. Wiener,*The Fourier integral and certain of its applications,* Dover publications, (1958).

[53] T. Ziegler, *Universal characteristic factors and Furstenberg averages,* J. Amer. Math. Soc., 20(1), 2006, 53-97.

Department of Mathematics, University of Rouen, LMRS, UMR 60 85, Avenue de l'Université, BP.12, 76801 Saint Etienne du Rouvray - France
E-mail address: `elhoucein.elabdalaoui@univ-rouen.fr`

Wu Wen-Tsun Key Laboratory of Mathematics, USTC, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Department of Mathematics, University of science and technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, 230026- China
E-mail address: `yexd@ustc.edu.cn`